arXiv:0804.3163v1 [math.GN] 19 Apr 2008

HARTMAN-MYCIELSKI FUNCTOR
OF NON-METRIZABLE COMPACTA

Taras Radul and Dušan Repovš

Abstract. We investigate some topological properties of a normal functor H introduced earlier by Radul which is a certain functorial compactification of the Hartman-Mycielski construction HM. We show that H is open and find the condition when HX is an absolute retract homeomorphic to the Tychonov cube.

1. Introduction

The general theory of functors acting on the category $\mathcal{C}omp$ of compact Hausdorff spaces (compacta) and continuous mappings was founded by Shchepin [Sh2]. He described some elementary properties of such functors and defined the notion of the normal functor which has become very fruitful. The classes of all normal and weakly normal functors include many classical constructions: the hyperspace *exp*, the space of probability measures P, the superextension λ, the space of hyperspaces of inclusion G, and many other functors (cf. [FZ] and [TZ]).

Let X be a space and d an admissible metric on X bounded by 1. By $HM(X)$ we shall denote the space of all maps from $[0,1)$ to the space X such that $f|[t_i,t_{i+1})\equiv const$, for some $0=t_0\le\dots\le t_n=1$, with respect to the following metric

$$d_{HM}(f,g)=\int_0^1 d(f(t),g(t))dt, \qquad f,g\in HM(X).$$

The construction of $HM(X)$ is known as the *Hartman-Mycielski construction* [HM].

For every $Z\in\mathcal{C}omp$ consider

$$HM_n(Z)=\Big\{f\in HM(Z)\mid \text{there exist } 0=t_1<\dots<t_{n+1}=1 \\ \text{with } f|[t_i,t_{i+1})\equiv z_i\in Z, i=1,\dots,n\Big\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the unique uniformity of Z. For every $U\in\mathcal{U}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, let

$$<\alpha,U,\varepsilon>=\{\beta\in HM_n(Z)\mid m\{t\in[0,1)\mid(\alpha(t),\beta(t'))\notin U\}<\varepsilon\}.$$

The sets $<\alpha,U,\varepsilon>$ form a base of a compact Hausdorff topology in HM_nZ. Given a map $f:X\to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}omp$, define a map $HM_nX\to HM_nY$ by the formula $HM_nF(\alpha)=f\circ\alpha$. Then HM_n is a normal functor in $\mathcal{C}omp$ (cf. [TZ; 2.5.2]).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54B30, 57N20.

Key words and phrases. Hartman-Mycielski construction, absolute retract, Tykhonov cube, normal functor.

The research was supported by the Slovenian-Ukrainian grant SLO-UKR 06-07/04.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

For $X \in \mathcal{C}omp$ we consider the space HMX with the topology described above. In general, HMX is not compact. Zarichnyi has asked if there exists a normal functor in $\mathcal{C}omp$ which contains all functors HM_n as subfunctors (see [TZ]). Such a functor H was constructed in [Ra]. It was shown in [RR] that HX is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube for each non-degenerated metrizable compactum X.

We investigate some topological properties of the space HX for non-metrizable compacta X. The main results of this paper are:

Theorem 1.1. *Hf is open if and only if f is an open map.*

Theorem 1.2. *HX is an absolute retract if and only if X is openly generated compactum of weight $\leq \omega_1$.*

Theorem 1.3. *HX is homeomorphic to Tychonov cube if and only if X is an openly generated χ-homogeneous compactum of weight ω_1.*

2. Construction of H and its connection with the functor of probability measures P

Let $X \in \mathcal{C}omp$. By CX we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with the usual sup-norm: $\|\varphi\| = \sup\{|\varphi(x)| \mid x \in X\}$. We denote the segment $[0,1]$ by I.

For $X \in \mathcal{C}omp$ let us define the uniformity of HMX. For each $\varphi \in C(X)$ and $a, b \in [0,1]$ with $a < b$ we define the function $\varphi_{(a,b)} : HMX \to \mathbb{R}$ by the following formula

$$\varphi_{(a,b)} = \frac{1}{(b-a)} \int_a^b \varphi \circ \alpha(t) dt.$$

Define

$$S_{HM}(X) = \{\varphi_{(a,b)} \mid \varphi \in C(X) \text{ and } (a,b) \subset [0,1)\}.$$

For $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in S_{HM}(X)$ define a pseudometric $\rho_{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n}$ on HMX by the formula

$$\rho_{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n}(f,g) = \max\{|\varphi_i(f) - \varphi_i(g)| \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\},$$

where $f, g \in HMX$. The family of pseudometrics

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\rho_{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ where } \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in S_{HM}(X)\},$$

defines a totally bounded uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{HMX}$ of HMX (see [Ra]).

For each compactum X we consider the uniform space $(HX, \mathcal{U}_{HX})$ which is the completion of $(HMX, \mathcal{U}_{HMX})$ and the topological space HX with the topology induced by the uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{HX}$. Since $\mathcal{U}_{HMX}$ is totally bounded, the space HX is compact.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous map. Define the map $HMf : HMX \to HMY$ by the formula $HMf(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$, for all $\alpha \in HMX$. It was shown in [Ra] that the map $HMf : (HMX, \mathcal{U}_{HMX}) \to (HMY, \mathcal{U}_{HMY})$ is uniformly continuous. Hence there exists the continuous map $Hf : HX \to HY$ such that $Hf|HMX = HMf$. It is easy to see that $H : \mathcal{C}omp \to \mathcal{C}omp$ is a covariant functor and HM_n is a subfunctor of H for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us remark that the family of functions $S_{HM}(X)$ embed HMX in the product of closed intervals $\prod_{\varphi_{(a,b)} \in S_{HM}(X)} I_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}$ where $I_{\varphi_{(a,b)}} = [\min_{x \in X} |\varphi(x)|, \max_{x \in X} |\varphi(x)|]$. Thus, the space HX is the closure of the image of HMX. We denote by

$p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}} : HX \to I_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}$ the restriction of the natural projection. Let us remark that the function Hf could be defined by the condition $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}} \circ Hf = p_{(\varphi\circ f)_{(a,b)}}$ for each $\varphi_{(a,b)} \in S_{HM}(Y)$.

It is shown in [RR] that HX is a convex subset of $\prod_{\varphi_{(a,b)}\in S_{HM}(X)} I_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}$.

Define the map $e_1 : HMX \times HMX \times I \to HMX$ by the condition that $e_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, t)(l)$ is equal to $\alpha_1(l)$ if $l < t$ and $\alpha_2(l)$ in the opposite case for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in HMX$, $t \in I$ and $l \in [0, 1)$. We consider HMX with the uniformity $\mathcal{U}_{HMX}$ and I with the natural metric. The map $e_1 : HMX \times HMX \times I \to HMX$ is uniformly continuous [RR].

Hence there exists the extension of e_1 to the continuous map $e : HX\times HX\times I \to HX$. It is easy to check that $e(\alpha, \alpha, t) = \alpha$, for each $\alpha \in HX$.

We recall that PX is the space of all nonnegative functionals $\mu : C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ with norm 1, and taken in the weak* topology for a compactum X (see [TZ] or [FZ] for more details). Recall that the base of the weak* topology in PX consists of the sets of the form $O(\mu_0, f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon) = \{\mu \in PX \mid |\mu(f_i) - \mu_0(f_i)| < \varepsilon$ for every $1 \le i \le n\}$. Hence we can consider PX as a subspace of the product of closed intervals $\prod_{\varphi\in C(X)} I_\varphi$ where $I_\varphi = [\min_{x\in X} |\varphi(x)|, \max_{x\in X} |\varphi(x)|]$. We denote by $\pi_\varphi : PX \to I_\varphi$ the restriction of the natural projection.

For each $(a, b) \subset (0, 1)$ we can define a map $rX_{(a,b)} : HX \to PX$ by formula $\pi_\varphi \circ rX_{(a,b)} = p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}$. It is easy to check that $rX_{(a,b)}$ is well defined, continuous and affine map.

We define as well a map $iX : PX \to HX$ by the formula $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}} \circ iX = \pi_\varphi$. We have that $rX_{(a,b)} \circ iX = \mathrm{id}_{PX}$, hence $rX_{(a,b)}$ is a retraction for each $(a, b) \subset (0, 1)$. The map $rX_{(0,1)}$ we denote simply by rX.

Let us remark that $r_{(a,b)} : H \to P$ is a natural transformation. (It means that for each map $f : X \to Y$ we have $Pf \circ rX_{(a,b)} = rY_{(a,b)} \circ Hf$.) The same property is valid for $i : P \to H$.

3. Openess of the functor H

A subset $A \subset HX$ is called *e-convex* if $e(\alpha, \beta, t) \in A$, for each α, $\beta \in A$ and $t \in I$. If, additionally, A is convex, we call A *H-convex.*

We suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a continuous surjective map between compacta during this section. The proofs of the next three lemmas are easy checking on HMX which is a dense subset of HX.

Lemma 3.1. *For each μ, $\nu \in HX$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have $e(Hf(\mu), Hf(\nu), t) = Hf(e(\mu, \nu, t))$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Consider any $\nu \in HX$ and a, b, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \le a < c < b \le 1$. Then we have $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\nu) = \frac{c-a}{b-a} p_{\varphi_{(a,c)}}(\nu) + \frac{b-c}{b-a} p_{\varphi_{(c,b)}}(\nu)$ for each $\nu \in HX$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $t \in (0, 1)$ and $(a, b) \subset (0, 1)$. For each μ, $\nu \in HX$ and $\varphi \in C(X)$ we have $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(e(\mu, \nu, t)) = p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu)$ if $b \le t$ and $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(e(\mu, \nu, t)) = p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\nu)$ if $t \le a$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a closed H-convex subset of HX and $\nu \notin A$. Then there exist $\varphi \in C(X)$ and $(a, b) \subset (0, 1)$ such that. $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\nu) < p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu)$ for each $\mu \in A$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary. We can for each $\mu \in A$ choose $\psi_\mu \in S_{HM}(X)$ such that $p_{\psi_\mu}(\nu) < p_{\psi_\mu}(\mu)$. Since A is compact, there exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in A$ such that for each $\mu \in A$ there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $p_{\psi_{\mu_i}}(\nu) < p_{\psi_{\mu_i}}(\mu)$. By Lemma 3.2 we can choose a family of intervals $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ such that $b_i \le a_{i+1}$ and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ a family of function $\varphi^1_{(a_i,b_i)}, \dots, \varphi^{n_i}_{(a_i,b_i)} \in S_{HM}(X)$ such that for each $\mu \in A$ there exist $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $l \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ such that $p_{\varphi^l_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\nu) < p_{\varphi^l_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\mu)$.

Consider the set $K = \{\mu \in A \mid p_{\varphi^l_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\mu) \leq p_{\varphi^l_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\nu)$ for each $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ and $l \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}\}$. Then K is a compact convex subset of A and for each $\mu \in A$ there exists $l \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}$ such that $p_{\varphi^l_{(a_1,b_1)}}(\nu) < p_{\varphi^l_{(a_1,b_1)}}(\mu)$. Then $rX_{(a_1,b_1)}(K)$ is a convex compact subset of PX which doesn't contain $rX_{(a_1,b_1)}(\nu)$. Then there exists $\psi^1 \in C(X)$ such that $\pi_{\psi^1}(rX_{(a_1,b_1)}(\nu)) < \pi_{\psi^1}(\eta)$ for each $\eta \in rX_{(a_1,b_1)}(K)$. Hence, for each $\mu \in K$ we have $p_{\psi^1_{(a_1,b_1)}}(\nu) < p_{\psi^1_{(a_1,b_1)}}(\mu)$.

Proceeding, we obtain $\psi^1, \dots, \psi^k \in C(X)$ such that for each $\mu \in A$ there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $p_{\psi^i_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\nu) < p_{\psi^i_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\mu)$.

By our supposition we can choose $\mu_i \in A$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $p_{\psi^i_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\mu_i) \leq p_{\psi^i_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\nu)$. Put $\xi_1 = \mu_1$ and $\xi_{i+1} = e(\xi_i, \mu_{i+1}, b_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Since A is e-convex, $\xi_k \in A$. By Lemma 3.3 we have $p_{\psi^i_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\xi_k) \leq p_{\psi^i_{(a_i,b_i)}}(\nu)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Thus, we obtain a contradiction and the lemma is proved.

The proof of the next lemma follows from Lemma 3.1 and the fact that Hf is affine map.

Lemma 3.5. *$(Hf)^{-1}(\nu)$ is H-convex for each $\nu \in HY$.*

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map and $\varphi \in C(X)$. By φ_* we denote the function φ_*:$Y \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by the formula $\varphi_*(y) = \inf(\varphi(f^{-1}(y)), y \in Y$. It is known [DE] that if f is open then the function φ_* is continuous.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a map such that the map $Hf : HX \to HY$ is open. Let us show that the map Pf is open. Consider any open set $U \subset PX$ and $\mu \in U$. Then $(rX)^{-1}(U)$ is an open set in HX and $iX(\mu) \in (rX)^{-1}(U)$ Since Hf is an open map,$Hf((rX)^{-1}(U))$ is open in HY and $Hf(iX(\mu)) \in Hf((rX)^{-1}(U))$. Since r is a natural transformation, we have $Hf((rX)^{-1}(U)) \subset (rY)^{-1}(Pf(U))$. We have $iY(Pf(\mu)) = Hf(iX(\mu))$ or $Pf(\mu) \in (iY)^{-1}(Hf((rX)^{-1}(U))) \subset (iY)^{-1}((rY)^{-1}(Pf(U))) = Pf(U)$. Since $(iY)^{-1}(Hf((rX)^{-1}(U)))$ is open, the map Pf is open. Hence f is open as well [DE].

Now let a map $f : X \to Y$ be open. Let us suppose that Hf is not open. Then there exists $\mu_0 \in HX$, a net $\{\nu_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset O(Y)$ converging to $\nu_0 = Hf(\mu_0)$ and a neighborhood W of μ_0 such that $(Hf)^{-1}(\nu_\alpha) \cap W = \emptyset$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $HM(Y)$ is a dense subset of HY, we can suppose that all $\nu_\alpha \in HM(Y)$. Since HX is a compactum, we can assume that the net $A_\alpha = (Hf)^{-1}(\nu_\alpha)$ converges in $\exp(HX)$ to some closed subset $A \subset HX$. It is easy to check that $A \subset (Hf)^{-1}(\nu_0)$ and $\mu_0 \notin A$. By the Lemma 3.5 all the sets A_α are H-convex. It is easy to see that A is H-convex as well. Since $\mu_0 \notin A$, there exists by Lemma 3.4 $\varphi \in C(X)$ and $(a, b) \subset (0, 1)$ such that $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu_0) < p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu)$ for each $\mu \in A$. Consider any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\} = \nu_\alpha([0, 1))$. Choose for each y_i the point x_i such that $f(x_i) = y_i$ and $\varphi(x_i) = \varphi_*(y_i)$. Define a map $j : \{y_1, \dots, y_s\} \to \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ by the formula $j(y_i) = x_i$ and put $\mu_\alpha(t) = j \circ \nu_\alpha(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1)$. Let μ be a limit point of the net μ_α, then $\mu \in A$. Since $\varphi_{(a,b)}(\mu_\alpha) = \varphi_{*(a,b)}(\nu_\alpha)$, we have $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu) = p_{\varphi_{*(a,b)}}(\nu_0) = p_{(\varphi_* \circ f)_{(a,b)}}(\mu_0) \leq p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu_0)$. We have obtained the contradiction and the theorem is proved.

4. Proofs

We will need some notations and facts from the theory of non-metrizable compacta. See [10] for more details.

Let τ be an infinite cardinal number. A partially ordered set $\mathcal{A}$ is called *τ-complete*, if every subset of cardinality $\leq \tau$ has a least upper bound in $\mathcal{A}$. An inverse system consisting of compacta and surjective bonding maps over a τ-complete indexing set is called τ-complete. A continuous τ-complete system consisting of compacta of weight $\leq \tau$ is called a *τ-system.*

As usual, by ω we denote the countable cardinal number.

A compactum X is called *openly generated* if X can be represented as the limit of an ω-system with open bonding maps.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It was shown in [RR] that HX is an absolute retract for each metrizable compactum X. So, we can consider only non-metrizable case.

Let X be an openly generated compactum of weight $\leq \omega_1$. By Theorem 1.1 the compactum HX is also openly generated. Since weight of X (and HX [Ra]) is $\leq \omega_1$, HX is $AE(0)$. Since HX is a convex compactum, HX is AR [Fe].

Now, suppose $HX \in AR$. Since $rX : HX \to PX$ ia a retraction, PX is an AR too. Then X is an openly generated compactum of weight $\leq \omega_1$[Fe]. The theorem is proved.

By $w(X)$ we denote the weight of the compactum X, by $\chi(x, X)$ the character in the point x and by $\chi(X)$ the character of the space X. The space X is called *χ-homogeneous* if for each $x, y \in X$ we have $\chi(x, X) = \chi(y, X)$. We will use the following characterization of the Tychonov cube I^τ. An AR-compactum X of weight τ is homeomorphic to I^τ for an uncountable cardinal number τ if and only if X is χ-homogeneous [Sh1].

Let $x \in X$. Define $\delta(x) \in HX$ by the condition $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\delta(x)) = \varphi(x)$ for each $\varphi_{(a,b)} \in S_{HM}(X)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be an open map. Then Hf has a degenerate fiber if and only if f has a degenerate fiber.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an open map such that there exists $y \in Y$ with $f^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$, $x \in X$. Consider any $\mu \in HX$ with $Hf(\mu) = \delta(y)$. Let us show that $\mu = \delta(x)$. Consider any $\varphi_{(a,b)} \in S_{HM}(X)$. Suppose that $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu) \neq \varphi(x)$. We can assume that $p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu) < \varphi(x)$. By [Ra, Lemma 1] there exists a function $\psi \in C(Y)$ such that $\psi(y) = \varphi(x)$ and $\psi \circ f \leq \varphi$. Then we have $p_{(\psi\circ f)_{(a,b)}}(\mu) \leq p_{\varphi_{(a,b)}}(\mu) < \varphi(x)$ and $p_{\psi_{(a,b)}}(\delta(y)) = p_{\psi_{(a,b)}} O(f)(\mu) = p_{(\psi\circ f)_{(a,b)}}(\mu) < \varphi(x) = \psi(y)$. Hence we obtain the contradiction. Thus, Hf has a degenerate fiber.

Now, suppose f has no degenerate fiber. Consider any $\mu \in HY$. Take any $y \in \operatorname{supp} \mu \subset Y$. Since f is an open map and $f^{-1}(y)$ is not a singleton, we can choose two closed subsets $A_1, A_2 \subset X$ such that $f(A_1) = f(A_2) = Y$ and $(A_1 \cap f^{-1}(y)) \cap (A_2 \cap f^{-1}(y)) = \emptyset$. Since the functor H preserves surjective maps [Ra], there exist $\mu_1 \in H(A_1)$ and $\mu_2 \in H(A_2)$ such that $Hf(\mu_1) = Hf(\mu_2) = \mu$. Since $y \in \operatorname{supp} \mu$, there exist $y_1 \in \operatorname{supp} \mu_1 \subset A_1$ and $y_2 \in \operatorname{supp} \mu_2 \subset A_2$ such that $f(y_1) = f(y_2) = y$. Hence $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.2. *An openly generated compactum X of weight ω_1 is χ-homogeneous if and only if HX is χ-homogeneous.*

Proof. Let HX be χ-homogeneous. Since the functor H preserves the weight [Ra], HX is an absolute retract such that $\chi(\mu, HX) = \omega_1$ for each $\mu \in HX$. Then take any $x \in X$ and suppose that there exists $\{U_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a countable base of open neighborhoods. Consider a family of functions $\{\varphi_i \in C(X) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\varphi_i(x) = 1$, $\varphi_i|X \setminus U_\subset = 0$. Then the family of function $\{\varphi_{i(a,b)} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}; a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ define a countable base of neighborhoods of $\delta(x)$ in HX. We obtain a contradiction, hence X is χ-homogeneous of X.

Now let X be a χ-homogeneous openly generated compactum of weight ω_1. Then $\chi(X) = \omega_1$ [Ra, Lemma 4]. Suppose that there exists a point $\nu \in HX$ such that $\chi(\nu, HX) < \omega_1$. Represent X as the limit space of an ω-system $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha, \mathcal{A}\}$ with open limit projections p_α. There exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(Hp_\alpha)^{-1}(Hp_\alpha(\nu)) = \{\nu\}$. By Lemma 4.2 there exists a point $z \in X_\alpha$ such that $p_\alpha^{-1}(z) = \{x\}$, $x \in X$. Hence $\chi(x, X) < \omega_1$ and we obtain the contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.2.

REFERENCES

[DE] S. Ditor and L. Eifler, *Some open mapping theorems for measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **164** (1972), 287–293.

[Fe] V. V. Fedorchuk, *Probability measures in topology*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **46** (1991), 41–80. (Russian)

[FZ] V. V. Fedorchuk and M. M. Zarichnyi, *Covariant functors in categories of topological spaces*, Results of Science and Technology, Algebra.Topology.Geometry **28**, VINITI, Moscow, pp. 47–95. (Russian)

[HM] S. Hartman and J. Mycielski, *On the embedding of topological groups into connected topological groups*, Colloq. Math. **5** (1958), 167–169.

[Ra] T. Radul, *A normal functor based on the Hartman-Mycielski construction*, Mat. Studii **19** (2003), 201–207.

[RR] T. Radul and D. Repovš, *On topological properties of the Hartman-Mycielski functor*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **115** (2005), 477–482.

[Sh1] E. V. Shchepin, *On Tychonov manifolds*, Dokl.Akad.Nauk USSR **246** (1979), 551–554. (Russian)

[Sh2] E. V. Shchepin, *Functors and uncountable powers of compacta*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **36** (1981), 3–62. (Russian)

[TZ] A. Telejko and M. Zarichnyi, *Categorical Topology of Compact Hausdorff Spaces*, Lviv, VNTL, 1999, p. 263.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, LVIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKA ST.,1, 79602 LVIV, UKRAINE.
E-mail address: `tarasradul@yahoo.co.uk`

INSTITUTE FOR MATEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, AND UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, P.O.BOX 2964, LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA 1001
E-mail address: `dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si`